## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicants would like to thank the Examiner for the careful consideration given the present application. The application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office action.

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that the application includes allowable subject matter.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph. Independent claims 1, 2 and 5 have been amended to clarify that the data streams comprise intermittent image data arrays, and that the oscillation angle information is inserted at blanking times between the image data arrays. Claim 3 depends from claim 1 and claim 6 depends from claim 2. Claims 7 and 8 have been canceled. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested in view of the current amendment.

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite. In claims 1 and 2 "visible image data" has been changed to "an image data array" for clarity. The amendments to claims 1, 2 and 5 further clarify that the data streams comprise intermittent image data arrays. Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested in view of the current amendment.

Claims 1-3 and 5-8 were further rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, for allegedly omitting essential elements. As noted above, independent claims 1, 2 and 5 have been amended to clarify that the data streams comprise intermittent image data arrays, and that the oscillation angle information is inserted at blanking times between the image data arrays.

Reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection is respectfully requested in view of the current amendment.

Further, the claims have been amended to eliminate the "means for" language, as suggested by the Examiner.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claim 4 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mochizuki. Claim 4 has been canceled.

Appln. No. 10/599,594 Reply to Office Action dated January 3, 2012

Applicants appreciate the Examiner's indication that claims 1-3 and 5-8 include allowable subject matter. In view of the current claim amendments, applicants respectfully submit that the application is in a condition for allowance.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the present application is in condition for allowance and notice to that effect is hereby requested. If it is determined that the application is not in condition for allowance, the Examiner is invited to initiate a telephone interview with the undersigned attorney to expedite prosecution of the present application.

If there are any additional fees resulting from this communication, please charge same to our Deposit Account No. 16-0820, our Order No.: NIHE-41412.

Respectfully submitted, PEARNE & GORDON, LLP

By: /Brad C Spencer/ Brad C. Spencer – Reg. No. 57,076

1801 East 9<sup>th</sup> Street Suite 1200 Cleveland, Ohio 44114-3108 (216) 579-1700

Date: April 2, 2012